THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No. 7723 of 2006

Date: 27.12.2006

Between:

B. Krishnudu.

..... PETITIONER

AND

Transmission Corporation of A.P., rep. by its
Chairman and Managing Director,
Vidyuth Soudha, Hyderabad and another.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No. 7723 of 2006

Order:

The main grievance of the petitioner in this writ petition is that he is entitled to re-fixation of his pay by extending four weightage increments for possessing diploma qualification, duly taking the post, which he was holding as on 01.04.1981 in terms of Regulation 30-A read with Regulation 39(1) and (2) of A.P.S.E.B. Service Regulations Part-I, and though the said benefit was extended to similarly placed persons of other Zones, and he made several representations, the respondent-Organization neither considered his representations nor extended the said benefit to him. Hence, he filed the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent-Organization.

According to the petitioner, he is entitled to re-fixation of his pay by extending four weightage increments for possessing diploma qualification, duly taking the post, which he was holding as on 01.04.1981 in terms of Regulation 30-A read with Regulation 39(1) and (2) of A.P.S.E.B. Service Regulations Part-I. It is his further case that he made several representations in that regard. However, the fact remains, none of the representations show that any acknowledgment was issued by the respondent-Organization in receipt of the said representations. That apart, a perusal of the Memo dated 31.12.2001 issued by the respondent-Organization, filed along with material papers of the writ petition by the petitioner itself clearly shows that the pay fixation of the

petitioner from 25.11.1969 i.e., from the date of his joining as Lineman, has been done as per the Board's Memo dated 27.01.1995 for possessing diploma qualification, and the petitioner was informed that no further correspondence will be entertained in that regard. In that view of the matter, no direction as sought for by the petitioner in the writ petition can be given. At any rate, the petitioner, having kept quiet for more than 15 years, after fixation of his pay in terms of Board's Memo dated 27.01.1995, now cannot contend that he is entitled to fixation of his pay by extending four weightage increments.

The writ petition is devoid of merit and the same is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 27.12.2006
ISL/Nsr